

# **Psychosocial Predictors of Metacognition in Multiple Sclerosis** Meghan Beier, Ph.D., Dagmar Amtmann, Ph.D., Dawn Ehde, Ph.D. Department of Rehabilitation Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, WA

#### INTRODUCTION

Metacognition, or self-perceived cognitive functioning, has studied in a variety populations (e.g., aging, cancer, TBI, and fibromyalgia). When compared to objective neuropsycholog data, self-reported impairment is often associated with emotional distress. This is also true of multiple sclerosis (MS).

Depression is the most commonly studied psychological variable influencing metacognition in MS. Studies have reported that perceived neurocognitive functioning is indeed more strongly associated with depression than with objective neuropsychological findings. However, people with MS often report a large number of symptoms concurrently, some of the most common being: fatigue, pain, depression, anxiety, and sleep disturbance. Recent research suggests that depression might be only one of many confounding variables.

Measures such as the Multiple Sclerosis Neuropsychological Questionnaire (MSNQ) and the Perceived Deficits Questionnaire (PDQ) were developed as screening tools for MS-related cognitive change. These, like most subjective report, are highly correlated with emotional distress. Some suggest that newgeneration self-report measures developed utilizing Item Response Theory may be a more suitable alternative.

The Applied Cognition–Executive Function–Short Form (Neuro-QOL-EF SF) and the Applied Cognition–General Concerns–Short Form (NeuroQOL-GC SF) are two such measures. The item banks and the short forms derived from them were developed to be precise self-report tools that can be used as primary or secondary outcomes measures in clinical research.

### PURPOSE

Examine the demographic and psychosocial constructs that predict perceived cognitive impairment longitudinally.

## **HYPOTHESIS**

Common MS symptoms (physical disability, fatigue, depression, perceived stress, anxiety, pain, wakefulness, and sleep disturbance) will be significantly correlated with and predict metacognition over time.

been	
b	
gical	

Table 1. Demographics at Baseline						
	% (N)					
	N = 407					
Gender	02 (220)					
Female	83 (338)					
Male	17 (69)					
Education	ο Γ (ο)					
Some High School	0.5 (2)					
High School Graduate/GED	13 (53)					
Some College/Vocational or Technical	39.1 (159)					
School	207(117)					
College degree	28.7(117)					
Advanced degree	18.7 (76)					
Race	01 / (272)					
Non-Hispanic White	91.4 (372)					
Non-Hispanic Amorican Indian/Alaska Nativo	2 (8)					
Non-Hispanic American Indian/Alaska Native	.2 (1)					
Non-Hispanic Asian	.5 (2)					
Non-Hispanic Native Hawaiian/Pacific	2.2 (9)					
Islander						
White Hispanic	.7 (3)					
Hispanic and Other Race	2.5 (10)					
More than One Race	.5 (2)					
Marital Status	70 2 (200)					
Married/Living with a Partner	70.3 (286)					
Divorced	14 (57) 5 2 (21)					
Single Nover Married	5.2 (21)					
Never Married	4.9 (20)					
Widowed	3.2 (13)					
Separated Other	.5 (2)					
EDSS	2 (8)					
EDSS ≤4.0	21 / (127)					
≤4.0 4.5 - 6.5	31.4 (127) 48. 6 (197)					
4.5 - 6.5 ≥7.0	20 (81)					
	20 (01)					
MS Type Relapsing Remitting	57.2 (233)					
	20.9 (85)					
Secondary Progressive Primary Progressive	11.1 (45)					
Primary Progressive Progressive Relapsing	8.6 (35)					
Progressive Relapsing Paid Employment	0.0 (55)					
Yes	36.9 (150)					
No	62.9 (256)					
	M (SD)					
	N = 407					
Age	52.95 (10.67)					
Duration of MS	14.62 (9.8)					
	14.02 (9.8)					

### **METHODS**

Adults with MS were recruited from the NMSS Greater Northwest chapter mailing list. A subset (N = 562) of participants in the baseline survey (N=1270) were randomly selected to continue in a longitudinal study. Data for this study was collected in 2008 and 2010, with an average of 22.7 months between time points (range: 18.7 – 26.1 months). The UW Human Subjects Division approved all study procedures, and participants were paid \$25 for each time point.

## **STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

Person product-moment correlation coefficients examined the relationship of demographic and psychosocial variables with metacognition outcome measures. Univariate and multiple linear regression evaluated potential predictors of selfreported cognitive decline two years after baseline. Potential predictors:

- <u>Demographics</u>: MS type, MS duration, age, gender, education, race, and marital status
- <u>Psychosocial</u>: fatigue, depression, anxiety, pain, perceived stress, sleep disturbance, and daytime sleep-related impairment

## RESULTS

Fatigue, not depression, was the strongest predictor of perceived cognitive impairment for both general cognitive concerns and perceived executive difficulties. General cognitive concerns were also predicted by anxiety. Perceived executive difficulty was also predicted by perceived stress.

## CONCLUSIONS

In MS, metacognition is frequently linked to depression without consideration of other possible contributors. This study suggests MS fatigue is a stronger predictor of self-reported cognitive function.

. Genera 2. Execut 3. MFIS 4. PHQ-9 5. PSS 6. PROM 7. PROMI 8. PROM Impairme 9.PROMIS Disturbar 10.EDSS

> Abbreviations: MFIS, Modified Fatigue Impact Scale; PHQ-9, Patient Health Questionnaire; PSS, Perceived Stress Scale

General (

Intercept EDSS MFIS PHQ-9 PSS

PROMIS PROMIS P PROMIS S related Impairme PROMISS Disturbar

\*p < .05; + just missed significance (PHQ-9 p = .056, EDSS p = .056, wake p = .059) Abbreviations: MFIS, Modified Fatigue Impact Scale; PHQ-9, Patient Health Questionnaire; PSS, Perceived Stress Scale; EDSS, Expanded Disability Status Scale

Stewart R. Subjective cognitive impairment. Curr Opin Psychiatry 2012;25(6):445-50. Bosma FK. Kessels RP. Co

The contents of this poster were developed under a grant from the Department of Education, NIDRR grant number H133B080025. However, those contents do not necessarily represent the policy of the Department of Education, and you should not assume endorsement by the Federal Government. In addition, the work reported in this poster was supported by a grant from the National Institutes of Health through the NIH Roadmap for Medical Research, Grant 5U01AR052171 to University of Washington, Amtmann (PI). Finally, this poster was also supported in part by a grant from the National Multiple Sclerosis Society, grant number MB 0008

Table 2. Correlates of Self-Reported Cognition											
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
al Cognition	-										
tive Functioning	.74	-									
	63	59	-								
)	51	54	.71	-							
	46	49	.57	.69	-						
IIS Anxiety	5	47	.55	.64	69	-					
IIS Pain	44	37	.62	.52	.41	.43	-				
IIS Sleep-related ent	43	39	.53	.58	.46	.47	.42	-			
IS Sleep nce	29	27	.36	.54	.4	.45	.33	.65	-		
	17	21	.43	.19	.22	.1	.27	.06*	004*	-	

Table 3. Regression Analysis									
Cognitive Concerns Executive Functioning									
	В	SE B	β		В	SE B	β		
t	58.24	2.88		Intercept	54.88	3.52			
	.91	.48	.08+	EDSS	.25	.58	.02		
	21	.03	52***	MFIS	2	.03	4***		
	.06	.1	.04	PHQ-9	24	.13	13+		
	13	.13	06	PSS	3	.16	12*		
Anxiety	14	.05	17**	<b>PROMIS</b> Anxiety	09	.06	09		
Pain	03	.04	04	PROMIS Pain	.05	.05	.05		
Sleep-	09	.05	11+	PROMIS Sleep-	07	.06	07		
				related					
ent				Impairment					
Sleep	.05	.04	.07	PROMIS Sleep	.06	.05	.07		
nce				Disturbance					
	$R^2 = .45$				$R^2 = .41$				
**p < .005; ***p < .0001									

#### REFERENCES

avin MJ. Brodaty H. Kochan NA. Crawford JD. Trollor JN. Draper B et al. Prevalence and predictors of "subjective complaints" in the Sydney Memory and Ageing Study. Am J Geriatr Psychiatry 2010:18(8):701chinson AD. Hosking JR. Kichenadasse G. Mattiske JK. Wilson C. Objective and subjective cognitive impairment following chemotherapy for cancer: a systematic

between depression, fatigue, subjective cognitive impairment, and objective neuropsychological functioning in patients with multiple sclerosis. Neuropsychology 2010;24(5):573-8

mory problems in multiple sclerosis: influence of psychiatric status and normative dissociative experiences. Arch Clin Neuropsychol 2010:25(1):39-48

Shin SY, Katz P, Julian L. The relationship between perceived cognitive dysfunction and objective neuropsychological performance in persons with rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken) 201 . Marcotte T. Durvasula RS. Wood S et al. Actual versus self-reported cognitive dysfunction in HIV-1 infection: memory-metamemory dissociations. J Clin Exp Neuropsychol 1996:18(3):431-43

nson CI. Metamemory and tested cognitive functioning in multiple sclerosis. Clin Neuropsychol 2001:15(3):357-68 stein A. Self-assessment of cognition in Multiple Sclerosis: the role of personality and anxiety. Cogn Behav Neurol 2011:24(3):115dleton LS. Denney DR. Lynch SG. Parmenter B. The relationship between perceived and objective cognitive functioning in multiple sclerosis. Arch Clin Neuropsychol 2006:21(5):487-9

. Cognitive dysfunction in multiple sclerosis. I. Frequency, patterns, and prediction. Neurology 1991:41(5):685-91 e relationship among depression, subjective cognitive impairment, and neuropsychological performance in multiple sclerosis. Mult Scler 2

mminga NG. Ruimschotel RP. Middelkoop HA. Visser LH. The relationship between self-reported executive performance and psychological characteristics in multiple sclerosis. Fur J Neurol 2012;19(4):56. Roth RS. Geisser ME. Theisen-Goodvich M. Dixon PJ. Cognitive complaints are associated with depression, fatigue, female sex, and pain catastrophizing in patients with chronic pain, Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2005;86(6):1147-54 Becker H. Stuifbergen A. Morrison J. Promising New Approaches to Assess Cognitive Functioning in People with Multiple Sclerosis. International Journal of MS Care 2012;14:71-

#### PROMIS: Dynamic Tools to Measure Health Outcomes from the Patient Perspective. Available from: URL: http://www.nihpro

#### **SOURCES OF SUPPORT**